

47

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

STEVEN A. ODOM, #161794,

Petitioner,

Case No. 2:20-cv-12180

-vs-

Judge Arthur J. Tarnow

BRYAN MORRISON, WARDEN

Magistrate Judge Anthony P. Patti

Respondent.

---

**PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR RELEASE ON BOND**

Petitioner, Steven A. Odom, in pro per, pursuant to 28 USC § 2254 and F.R.A.P. 23, petitions this Honorable Court to grant him release on recognizance or reasonable money bond pending a decision on the merits of his Habeas Corpus Petition, and states:

1. On August 3, 2020, Petitioner mailed for filing with the Court, his petition for habeas corpus.
2. On August 14, 2020, the Court, after examining the petition, found that it was not subject to dismissal under Rule 4, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, and issued an order requiring the Respondent to file a responsive pleading by October 23, 2020. (Dkt. #4)
3. The Respondent or his counsel, has failed to file an appearance, a response to the petition, or a motion for an extension of time in which to respond. This disregard for the Court's order and for Petitioner's right to a swift resolution of his habeas claims poses a threat to the orderly administration of justice. See Fay v. Noia, 372 US 391, 400 (1963); Preslar v. Rodriguez, that habeas corpus claims should receive a "swift, flexible, and summary determination." 411 US 475, 495 (1973).

4. Therefore, Petitioner contends that keeping him confined pending a decision on the merits of his habeas petition during what medical experts have projected to be a deadly "Twindemic" (coronavirus/influenza) season this fall/winter, presents a serious and significant risk to his health. As such, he requests that this Honorable Court release him on recognizance or reasonable money bond pending the resolution of his habeas petition.

5. The power of a federal court to release a state prisoner who is a habeas corpus petitioner on recognizance or reasonable money bond pending a resolution of his Habeas Corpus case is well established. In fact, a federal court may release on bond or recognizance a state prisoner while consideration of his federal issues is pending, even before Habeas Corpus is granted. See Puertas v. Overton, 272 F.Supp.2d 621, 630 (E.D. Mich. 2003); Moore v. Egeler, 390 F.Supp 205, 207 (E.D. Mich. 1975). See Brief in Support.

6. Even when the charge is first degree murder, release pending a decision on the merits of a habeas petition has been granted. See Clark v. Hoffner, 2020 U.S. Lexis 61577 (E.D. Mich., Case No. 16-11959, Unpublished Opinion dated April 8, 2020). Here, the charge is armed robbery and bank robbery.

7. Even though release on bond pending a decision on the merits of a habeas corpus petition is rarely granted, to qualify for release, Petitioner must show: (1) a substantial claim of law based on the facts surrounding his petition, and (2) the existence of "some circumstances making the [motion for bond] exceptional and deserving of special treatment in the interest of justice." Aronson v. May, 85 S.Ct 3, 5-7 (1964); Dotson v. Clark, 900 F.2d 77, 79 (CA 6, 1990). See Brief in Support.

8. Petitioner raised a number of constitutional claims of error in his petition before the Court, but only relies on the two substantial claims of law

infra based on the facts of his case in support of this motion for bond:

First, on May 13, 2010, Petitioner appeared in the district court for arraignment on the felony complaint. This is where he made the initial request to represent himself and the court complied. The United States Supreme Court has mandated that an individual who wishes to represent himself must be made aware of the dangers and disadvantages of self-representation, so the record will establish that "he knows what he is doing and his choice is made with eyes wide open." The Court further mandated that an individual who wishes to represent himself must waive the right to counsel "knowingly and intelligently" on the record. Faretta v. California, 422 US 806 (1975). Here, Petitioner was not made aware of the dangers and disadvantages of self-representation at critical stages of the criminal prosecution: the initial arraignment, preliminary examination, and circuit court arraignment on the felony information. In deciding the issue, the Michigan Court of Appeals correctly held that Petitioner's "affirmation to the district court that he would be representing himself, was not a valid waiver of his right to counsel," but then erred in finding that "...the deprivation of counsel for defendant at the arraignment on the information was harmless." The Court did not address the initial arraignment and preliminary exam in its opinion. Nonetheless, the error was not harmless. Because where as here, there is no record support for the initial waiver of the right to counsel, and no trial court determination as to the propriety of the waiver on the record, relief is warranted on this Sixth Amendment claim on the merits (Arguments I of the Petition, pp 48-54). See Fowler v. Collins, 253 F.3d 244, 249 (CA 6, 2001), citing Johnson v. Zerbst, 304 US 458, 465 (1938).

Second, Petitioner represented himself at trial. During trial, he was shackled with an ankle-to-hip restraint without a trial court determination for why he was shackled. The Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments prohibit the use of physical restraints visible to the jury absent a trial court determination, in the exercise of its discretion, that they are justified by a state interest specific to a particular trial. Deck v. Missouri, 544 U.S. 622, 629 (2005); Malbrook v. Flynn, 475 US 560, 567 (1986). Here, the Michigan Court of Appeals correctly held that the trial court "abused its discretion when it required Petitioner to wear the device," but then erred in failing to consider that the jurors may have saw the restraint. The Court also erred when it failed to remand for an additional hearing so jurors could testify to their awareness of Petitioner's shackles, and substituted its own opinion for that of the jury. Regardless, if it can be determined through further fact-finding that jurors were aware of Petitioner's shackles during trial, relief would be warranted on this Due Process claim on the merits (Argument II of the Petition, pp 55-62). See Davenport v. McLaren, 964 F.3d 448, 459, 466-468 (CA 6, 2020).

9. Next, Petitioner contends that there are circumstances surrounding his health which makes this motion for bond exceptional. Specifically, Petitioner

contracted the coronavirus (Covid-19) here at the Lakeland Correctional Facility (LCF), in March of 2020, where over 800 prisoners out of 1400 contracted the virus. Twenty-seven prisoners died from Covid-19 at LCF. Consequently, there has been a resurgence of Covid-19 cases throughout the MDOC, and at LCF. Petitioner is susceptible to further re-infection.

10. Petitioner is a 58 year-old African American male with underlying medical conditions: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), hypertension, and hyperlipidemia, which makes him extremely vulnerable to further infection and even possible death from Covid-19, the flu, and other forms of pneumonia. Specifically, pneumonia caused by Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) infections. Petitioner has contracted numerous MRSA infections at LCF.<sup>1</sup>

11. The State is incapable of protecting Petitioner. That is, despite the many steps the MDOC has taken to combat Covid-19 in its institutions, it has done nothing to reduce its prisoner population to allow for social distancing.<sup>2</sup> In fact, Petitioner cannot socially distance himself from other prisoners at LCF, because he lives in an overcrowded dormitory style housing unit, which makes for exceptional circumstances deserving of special treatment in the

---

1. Petitioner complained of severe Pleuritic pain in August of 2020. A chest x-ray was ordered by Dr. Elizabeth Ralles on August 26, 2020. Despite Petitioner's underlying medical conditions, complaints that his symptoms had worsened, and Covid-19 being a multi-system disease, an x-ray of his chest was not taken until 10/09/2020. Consequently, on October 12, 2020, Petitioner was diagnosed with pneumonia in his lungs and prescribed Azithromycin.

2. According to Dr. Adam Learing, associate professor of infections disease and microbiology and immunology at the University of Michigan, "Prisons are very fertile grounds for the spread of COVID-19 because they house many people close together in poorly ventilated environments." He further opined that "the threat isn't going away anytime soon." He concluded by stating, "I think its going to be an ongoing issue with COVID because until we're able to really tackle all the problems with testing, separating people, quarantining, reducing prison density, it's always going to be a high-risk area for outbreaks like this." See Detroit Free Press, "Virus Hits Nearly Half of Inmates At A Prison" dated 08/21/2020.

consideration of bond. Because these housing units are breeding petri dishes for bacterial and viral infections, and pose a serious and significant risk to Petitioner's health;--in addition to the risk posed by the MDOC's failure to promptly seek diagnoses and treatment for his serious medical needs.

12. Petitioner has strong family and community support, and has home and job placement.

13. Petitioner would suffer irreparable harm each day that he would remain under a conviction (or sentence) in violation of the U.S. Constitution. Because" remedying such harm is the very essence of the writ of habeas corpus," Ward v. Wolfenberger, 340 F.Supp.2d 773, 778 (E.D. Mich. 2004), release should be granted.

14. Petitioner incorporates by reference the documents in the Appendix and the Brief in Support of this motion.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner moves this Honorable Court to order his release on recognizance or on a reasonable bail bond pending a decision on the merits of his habeas corpus petition.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven A. Odom  
In Pro Per  
Lakeland Correctional Facility  
141 First Street  
Coldwater, Michigan 49036

Dated: November 5, 2020

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

STEVEN A. ODOM, #161794,

Petitioner,

Case No. 2:20-cv-12180

-vs-

Judge Arthur J. Tarnow

BRYAN MORRISON, WARDEN

Magistrate Judge Anthony P. Patti

Respondent.

---

**BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RELEASE ON BOND**

- I. THIS COURT SHOULD GRANT PETITIONER RELEASE ON BOND PENDING A DECISION ON THE MERITS OF HIS HABEAS PETITION WHERE THERE IS A SUBSTANTIAL CLAIM OF LAW PRESENTED AND CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH MAKE THE MOTION FOR BOND EXCEPTIONAL.

It is well established that a federal court may release on bond or recognizance a state prisoner while consideration of his federal issues is pending, even before Habeas Corpus is granted. Nash v. Eberlin, 437 F.3d 519, 526, n.10 (CA 6, 2006); Puertas v. Overton, 272 F.Supp.2d 621, 630 (E.D. Mich. 2003); Mapp v. Reno, 241 F.3d 221, 226 (2d Cir 2001); Landano v. Rafferty, 970 F.2d 1230, 1239 (3rd Cir 1992); Moore v. Egeler, 390 F.Supp 205, 207 (E.D. Mich. 1975).

Michigan federal courts have granted release on bond to Habeas Corpus petitioners with life sentences for murder. See Clark v. Hoffner, 2020 U.S. Lexis 61577 (E.D. Mich., Case No. 16-11959, Unpublished Opinion dated April 8, 2020); Newman v. Metrish, 300 Fed. Appx. 3432 (CA 6, 2008); Hargrave-Thomas v. Yukins, 450 F.Supp.2d 711, 716-717 (E.D. Mich. 2006) [murder defendant and Habeas Corpus petitioner released on bond issued by federal court for 4 years].

To qualify for release on bond pending a decision on the merits of his habeas petition, Petitioner must show: (1) a substantial claim of law based on

the facts surrounding his petition, and (2) the existence of "some circumstances making the [motion for bond] exceptional and deserving of special treatment in the interest of justice." Aronson v. May, 85 S.Ct 3, 7 (1964); Dotson v. Clark, 900 F.2d 77, 79 (CA 6, 1990).

First, Petitioner contends that Issue I of his petition, the denial of counsel at critical stages of the prosecution, where there was no valid waiver of the constitutional right, is a substantial Sixth Amendment claim of law based on the facts of his case that warrant consideration of bond. See Faretta v. California, 422 US 806 (1975); Fowler v. Collins, 253 F.3d 244, 249 (CA 6, 2001).

Further, Petitioner contends that Issue II of the petition, restraining him during trial without cause, is another substantial Due Process claims of law based on the facts of his case that warrant consideration of bond. See Davenport v. Maclaren, 964 F.3d 448, 459, 466-468 (CA 6, 2020).

Second, Petitioner contends that there are circumstances surrounding his health which makes his motion for bond exceptional. Specifically, Petitioner contracted the coronavirus (Covid-19) here at the Lakeland Correctional Facility (LCF), in March of 2020, where over 800 prisoners out of 1400 contracted the virus. Twenty-seven prisoners died from Covid-19 at LCF. Consequently, there has been a resurgence of Covid-19 infections throughout the MDOC, and at LCF. This jeopardizes Petitioner's health.

Petitioner is a 58 year-old African American male with underlying medical conditions: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), hypertension, and hyperlipidemia, which makes him extremely vulnerable to further infection and even possible death from Covid-19, the flu, and other forms of pneumonia. Specifically, pneumonia caused by Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) infections. Petitioner has contracted numerous MRSA infections while

housed at LCF.

To be sure, keeping Petitioner confined pending a decision on the merits of his habeas petition during what medical experts have projected to be a deadly "Twindemic" (coronavirus/influenza) season this fall/winter, presents a serious and significant risk to Petitioner's health, which make for the existence of circumstances making the motion for bond in this case exceptional and deserving of special treatment in the interest of justice. See Puerta v. Overton, 272 F.Supp.2d at 631; Clark v. Hoffner, 2020 US Dist Lexis 61577 at \*10-\*11.

Petitioner is unlikely to abscond while on bond, because to abscond would only terminate this habeas corpus proceeding to the sever prejudice of Petitioner, who strongly believe in his likelihood of success in this action.

Here, the Respondent has failed to file a responsive pleading as ordered by the Court, or file a motion for an extension of time in which to respond. This disregard for the Court's order and for Petitioner's right to a swift resolution of his habeas claims poses a threat to the orderly administration of justice in this case. See Fay v. Noia, 372 US 391, 400 (1963); Presler v. Rodriguez, that habeas corpus claims should receive a "swift, flexible, and summary determination." 411 US 475, 495 (1973).

There is no harm in releasing Petitioner unless one can find that he is likely to abscond.

Petitioner has no criminal history during his incarceration of the last 11 years. He has wide-ranging support from the community. And the attached Michigan Department of Corrections documents establish Petitioner's suitability for release.

The reports indicate the following. Petitioner has maintained steady employment with positive work reports. He has also completed numerous self-



help programs, in addition to cage your rage.

Although Petitioner has a lengthy sentence, 360 to 720 months, he was initially sentenced to 220 to 420 months. If that sentence were to be reinstated, Petitioner would immediately be eligible for Level I placement within the MDOC. Petitioner is currently confined in a Level II facility.

The remedy of Habeas Corpus should not be rendered worthless by Petitioner's continued incarceration. See Moore v. Egler, *supra*.

That is, this court should not ignore that the evidence against Petitioner was not overwhelming. Ms. Ritchie, the store clerk, could not say that Petitioner was the robber and could not say that the robber used binoculars as part of the robbery. (T1, 275-276). In fact, after the preliminary exam, Ms. Ritchie contacted the Detective in charge of the case because she was surprised that Petitioner was the person accused of the robbery. (T1, 272-273). Ms. Dowker, the customer inside the store at the time of the robbery, could not say with 100% certainty that the robber was Petitioner. (T1, 300).

The Detective in charge of the case, Laura Burke, found a pair of binoculars in the snow in the parking lot of the Check-N-Go. (T2, 27-28). They weren't covered in snow, but Detective Burke had to dig through in order to figure out what the mound was in the parking lot. (T2, 49). When Detective Burke brought the small black set of binoculars into the Check 'n Go, Ms. Dowker could not be sure, but thought they were the item in the robber's hands, but could not see the whole thing. (T1, 290-291, 299-300). Ms. Ritchie never said the binoculars is what the person had in their hand. (T1, 274).

Rebecca Preston, a DNA analyst at Bode, testified that she generated a DNA profile from the binoculars and found a mixture of three or four contributors, including a major male contributor. (T2, 292). Ms. Preston opined that Petitioner's DNA matched the major male contributor on the binoculars with a

reasonable scientific certainty. (T2, 299). However, Ms. Preston never worked on Kinship analysis, even though it is possible. (T2, 304, 316). She stated that in a mixed sample its important to know the other source of the family members, but Bode statistics only state the possibility of randomly selecting an unrelated individual. (T2, 316-317). Ms. Preston never worked on a case with a mixed DNA sample containing DNA from a father and son. (T2, 327).

Ms. Williams, the mother of Petitioner's two sons, and the State's star witness, testified that on the night of January 14, 2009, Petitioner came to her house acting nervous and scared. (T2, 84). He said that in the morning, he wore all black, with his facer covered, and he robbed the Check 'n Go. (T2, 84-86). Petitioner stated that he took about \$3,000, and that he used binoculars, but dropped them when he came out. (T2, 86-87). When Ms. Williams testified at the preliminary exam, she stated that Petitioner did not tell her of any money recovered. (T2, 120-122). She remembered this fact after the preliminary exam. (T2, 120-122). In her report to a police tip line to report the robbery, Ms. Williams did not mention any binoculars. (T2, 163). However, in an interview with Detective Burke, she mentioned the binoculars, before they were public knowledge. (T2, 172).

Ms. Williams recognized the binoculars because her grandchildren used to come to the house to play with them. (T2, 86-87). She had not seen them since the date of the incident. (T2, 91).

Ms. Williams did not report this information to police because she was concerned for her safety. (T2, 91). She reported Petitioner's admission a few months later after their relationship continued to deteriorate. (T2, 91-93). On June 19, 2009, she obtained a Personal Protection Order. (T2, 94). When Petitioner failed to comply with the no contact order, Ms. Williams reported him and his agent arrested him. (T2, 95). In October, Ms. Williams then

reported Petitioner's statements because his incarceration made her feel safe. (T2, 98). Although Ms. Williams made several complaints to police and testified at a parole revocation hearing, she never told police about the robbery prior to October 2009. (T2, 142, 158).

Ms. Williams acknowledged that she heard that Petitioner's home had been burglarized and some of his property ended up in her son Shaun's home. (T2, 148). Martha Dunny, Petitioner's landlord mistakenly provided Shaun Odom, Petitioner's son, a key to his apartment on July 8, 2009. (T3, 134-135). Douglas Paschall, the vice-president of Jos-Mar Realty confirmed that nobody had permission to give out Petitioner's key. (T3, 149). He saw somebody in Petitioner's apartment and spoke to his mother and sister about the incident. (T3, 146-147). Deputy Grant Toth investigated the burglary complaint of Petitioner's residence on July 12, 2009. (T3, 173-176). The case remained opened, with nobody charged. (T3, 173-176). Shirley Odom, Petitioner's mother received a message from Shaun Odom, where he said, "if my dad wanted to play like that, I have some evidence that will put him away for life." (T3, 289).

Finally, at the time of the offense, Petitioner had to wear a GPS monitoring device. (T2, 176-177; T3, 6-7). The monitoring device tracked Petitioner's movements through global positioning, or as a backup through cell tower pining if the signal is lost. (T3, 9-12).

Gregory Roach, the custodian of the records for the GPS program, testified over Petitioner's timely objection that he examined the records from Petitioner's GPS device on January 14, 2009, the morning of the robbery. (T3, 13-27). At 7:30 a.m., the device traced Petitioner to his home zone. (T3, 29). At 8:35 a.m., he was at the I-94 Main Street exit near a shopping mall, and at 8:52 a.m., he approached the Michigan Football Stadium. (T3, 31-32). At 9:09 a.m., the device traced an address on Kingsley Street. (T3, 32, 36).

Petitioner's mother lives at 114 W. Kingsley street. At 9:09 a.m., the device lost GPS coverage, but a signal bounced off a cell tower at South Main and Washington. (T3, 32-33). When an individual goes off line and is no longer being tracked by GPS, there is a backup system that pings off the nearest cell tower. (T3, 12). At 10:00 a.m., there was still no GPS coverage, but a cell signal bounced off a tower on I-94, between Liberty and Jackson. (T3, 33). At 10:45 a.m., the GPS signal showed Petitioner returned to his apartment in Ypsilanti. (T3, 34-35).

Mr. Roach confirmed that if a cell tower is busy or has too many hits, then a signal would bounce off the next closest tower. (T3, 48). Mr. Roach however, did not have knowledge about location of cell towers. (T3, 44-45). All the information that he retains comes from Pro-Tech. (T3, 47). Mr. Roach's agency uses Pro-Tech software and his staff and Pro-tech put together the disk he used. (T3, 16).

The evidence of Petitioner's location in range of the crime scene removed any doubt as to his guilt and provided important corroboration to evidence that could have otherwise been fabricated. Petitioner Odom's son matched the description of the perpetrator, and he could have left the binoculars with Petitioner's DNA at the scene. Ms. Williams became fearful of Petitioner and had a motive to both fabricate his confession and protect her son from both the Check 'n Go robbery, and the burglary of Petitioner's home. Only two pieces of evidence disprove the fabrication theory and offer independent corroboration --

(1) Petitioner's proximity to the crime scene at the time of the robbery, and  
(2) the fact that the GPS device was disabled immediately before, after, and during the robbery. Absent the inadmissible hearsay offered by Gregory Roach, the jury hears neither of these facts. See Arguments IV & V of the petition.

Petitioner would have no incentive to escape and nullify the possibility


of a new trial where the evidence was not overwhelming against him. In fact, were he to have proper representation, a conviction would seem close to impossible.

Based on this record, this Court should exercise its discretion and release Petitioner on recognizance or reasonable money bond pending a decision on his federal constitutional claims.

**RELIEF SOUGHT**

WHEREFORE, Petitioner moves this Honorable Court to order his release on recognizance or on a reasonable bail bond pending a decision on the merits of his habeas corpus petition.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven A. Odom

In Pro Per

Lakeland Correctional Facility

141 First Street

Coldwater, Michigan 49036

Dated: November 5, 2020

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

**STEVEN A. ODOM, #161794,**

**Petitioner,**

**Case No. 2:20-cv-12180**

**-vs-**

**Judge Arthur J. Tarnow**

**BRYAN MORRISON, WARDEN**

**Magistrate Judge Anthony P. Patti**

**Respondent.**

---

**APPENDIX TO PETITIONER'S MOTION  
FOR RELEASE ON BOND**

- A. Petitioner's Medical Records**
- B. MDOC & LCF Positive/Negative Covid-19 Reports**
- C. Petitioner's Work & Program Reports**
- D. Declaration of Sylvia Carroll (Home Placement)**

A P P E N D I X "A"

PETITIONER'S MEDICAL RECORDS

1. COVID-19 POSITIVE TEST RESULT DATED: 04/22/2020
2. MDOC CLINICAL ENCOUNTER - ADMINISTRATIVE NOTE DATED: 02/02/2020
3. CLINICAL MRSA DIAGNOSES DATED: 11/02/2017
4. CLINICAL MRSA DIAGNOSES DATED: 01/26/2018
5. LETTER TO GOVERN GRETCHEN WHITMER CONCERNING PETITIONER'S HEALTH DATED OCTOBER 18, 2020

**Department of Corrections  
Lab Report**

Offender Name: Odom, Steven Aderrick  
Date of Birth: 08/01/1962  
Report Date: 04/22/2020

Sex: M  
Provider: HILL, E'COE

Off #: 0161794

Test Name	Result	Reference Range	Lab
COMMENTS:			
SARS COV 2 RNA, RT PCR (COVID 19) {COV19}			
SARS COV 2 RNA, RT PCR	POSITIVE	NEGATIVE	

THESE RESULTS ARE FOR THE DETECTION OF SARS-COV-2 RNA. DETECTED RESULTS ARE INDICATIVE OF THE PRESENCE OF SARS-COV-2 RNA. CLINICAL CORRELATION WITH PATIENT HISTORY AND OTHER DIAGNOSTIC INFORMATION IS NECESSARY TO DETERMINE PATIENT INFECTION STATUS. POSITIVE RESULTS DO NOT RULE OUT BACTERIAL INFECTION OR CO-INFECTION WITH OTHER VIRUSES. NEGATIVE RESULTS DO NOT PRECLUDE SARS-COV-2 INFECTION AND SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR PATIENT MANAGEMENT DECISIONS. NEGATIVE RESULTS MUST BE COMBINED WITH CLINICAL OBSERVATIONS, PATIENT HISTORY AND EPIDEMIOLOGICAL INFORMATION. IMPROPER SPECIMEN COLLECTION CAN RESULT IN POSSIBLE FALSE NEGATIVE RESULTS.



## Michigan Department of Corrections

### Clinical Encounter - Administrative Note

Offender Name: Odom, Steven Aderrick		Off #: 0161794
Date of Birth: 08/01/1962	Sex: M	Facility: LCF
Note Date: 02/02/2020 13:34	Provider: Hill, ECoe [EH1] NP	Unit: B4

Chart Review/Update encounter performed at Non Patient Contact.

#### Administrative Notes:

**ADMINISTRATIVE NOTE 1**      **Provider:** Hill, ECoe [EH1] NP

Medication Renewal from expiring med report.

#### ASSESSMENTS:

Lumbago with sciatica, unspecified side, M54.40 - Current, Chronic, Initial  
 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, unspecified, J44.9 - Current, Chronic, Initial  
 Enlarged prostate without lower urinary tract symptoms, N40.0 - Current, Chronic, Initial  
 Gastro-esophageal reflux disease without esophagitis, K21.9 - Current, Chronic, Initial  
 Hyperlipidemia, unspecified, E78.5 - Current, Chronic, Initial  
 Risk Score Low - 6 to 12 Months, RS Low - Current, Chronic, Initial

#### Renew Medication Orders:

<u>Rx#</u>	<u>Medication</u>	<u>Order Date</u>	<u>Start Date</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Prescriber Order</u>
5004788	ALBUTEROL HFA (8.5GM) 90	02/02/2020 13:34	02/02/2020	1	1 PUFF BY MOUTH
3	MCG INHA				EVERY 4-6 HOURS
					AS NEEDED. KITE
					FOR REFILL, LIMIT OF
					2 CANISTERS/6
					MONTH x 180 day(s)
	<b>Indication:</b> Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, unspecified				
5004792	APAP 325MG TABS	02/02/2020 13:34	02/02/2020	180	TAKE 1-2 TABS BY
9					MOUTH THREE TIMES
					DAILY AS NEEDED
					KOP x 180 day(s) --
					May refill x 5
	<b>Indication:</b> Lumbago with sciatica, unspecified side				
5004806	Simvastatin Oral Tablet 20 MG	02/02/2020 13:34	02/02/2020		TAKE 1 TAB BY
8					MOUTH AT BEDTIME -
					KOP x 180 day(s)
	<b>Indication:</b> Hyperlipidemia, unspecified				

**Co-Pay Required:** No      **Cosign Required:** No

**Telephone/Verbal Order:** No

**Standing Order:** No

Completed by Hill, ECoe [EH1] NP on 02/02/2020 13:43

**ODOM, STEVEN A**

LCF

, MI

Person Number: 5438

Sex: M

DOB: 8/1/1962

**COMMENTS (Collection Date: 11/02/2017 16:45, Status: )**

Component	Result	Units	Flag	Range	Comment
COMMENTS	PANIC VALUE RESULT				PANIC CALLED "MRSA" CALLED AND FAXED TO HERSHEY AT 2:50PM BY WENDY S

**WOUND CULTURE (Collection Date: 11/02/2017 16:45, Status: )**

Component	Result	Units	Flag	Range	Comment
WOUND CULTURE	SEE RESULT COMMENTS BELOW				WOUND CULTURE REPORT SOURCE: WOUND SITE: GENITAL CULTURE RESULTS: ORGANISM ISOLATED #1 mecA-MEDIATED RESISTANT STAPHYLOCOCCUS Beta Lactamase positive Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus RIFAMPIN SHOULD NOT BE USED ALONE FOR CHEMOTHERAPY ORGANISM ISOLATED: #1 MRSA (MRSA) QUANTITY: 3+ Antimicrobial MRSA(1) ----- Clindamycin S <=0.5 Daptomycin S <=1 Erythromycin R >4 Gentamicin S <=1 Levofloxacin R 4 Linezolid S 2 Moxifloxacin I 1 Oxacillin R >2 Penicillin G R >1 Rifampin S <=0.5 Trimethoprim/Sulfame S <=0.5/9.5 Tetracycline S <=0.5 Vancomycin S 1

**WOUND CULTURE (Collection Date: 11/02/2017 16:45, Status: )**

Component	Result	Units	Flag	Range	Comment
WOUND CULTURE	SEE RESULT COMMENTS BELOW				WOUND CULTURE REPORT SOURCE: WOUND SITE: GENITAL CULTURE RESULTS: ORGANISM ISOLATED #1 mecA-MEDIATED RESISTANT STAPHYLOCOCCUS Beta Lactamase positive Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus RIFAMPIN SHOULD NOT BE USED ALONE FOR CHEMOTHERAPY ORGANISM ISOLATED: #1 MRSA (MRSA) QUANTITY: 3+ Antimicrobial MRSA(1) ----- Clindamycin S <=0.5 Daptomycin S <=1

Patient: ODOM, STEVEN A, DOB: 8/1/1962

Erythromycin	R	>4
Gentamicin	S	<=1
Levofloxacin	R	4
Linezolid	S	2
Moxifloxacin	I	1
Oxacillin	R	>2
Penicillin G	R	>1
Rifampin	S	<=0.5
Trimethoprim/Sulfame	S	<=0.5/9.5
Tetracycline	S	<=0.5
Vancomycin	S	1

UN DATE: 01/29/18  
UN TIME: 0805

Community Health Center of Branch County  
274 East Chicago Street - Coldwater, MI 49036  
Phone: (517)279-5476 / Fax: (517)279-5372

PAGE 1

DOCTOR

SUDHIR, BHAMINI MD (LAKE C CF)

Name: ODOM, STEVEN A SUDHIR, BHAMINI MD (L  
Acct#: V00002314756 DOB/AGE/SEX: 08/01/1962 55 M 141 FIRST ST  
Unit#: M000444078 Location: ER  
Reg: 01/26/18 Room/Bed: COLDWATER MI 49036  
Attending Phys: Other Phys:  
Family Phys: SUDHIR, BHAMINI MD (LAKE C CF) Subm. Phys: KNOBLOCH, DANIEL E (ER)

Specimen: 18:M0000398R RES Collected: 01/26/18-1910 Received: 01/26/18-1931  
Source: FINGER Sp Descrip: Completed: 01/28/18-0921

Procedure	Result	Site
-----------	--------	------

WOUND CULTURE Preliminary  
Direct Smear:

MODERATE WBCS  
MODERATE EPITHELIAL CELLS  
MODERATE GRAM POSITIVE COCCI IN CLUSTERS

Organism 1

STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS-MRSA  
Quantity: Moderate  
CLSI Recommended Antibiotic Therapy  
See sensitivity results to ensure appropriate therapy.  
Non-MRSA: Nafcillin, Cefazolin,  
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole  
MRSA 1st Line: Vancomycin  
MRSA 2nd Line: Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole,  
Ceftaroline, Linezolid, Doxycycline, Rifampin (not used  
alone)  
MRSA Urine: Nitrofurantoin,  
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole

## 1. STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS-MRSA

	M.I.C.	RX	Route	Dose
AMPICILLIN/SULBACTAM (UNASYN)		R		
CEFAZOLIN (ANCEF/KEFZOL)		R		
CIPROFLOXACIN (CIPRO)	<=0.5	S	PO	500-750mg BID
		S	IV	200-400mg Q12h
CLINDAMYCIN (CLEOCIN)	0.25	S	PO	150-300mg Q6h
		S	IV	900mg Q8h
* DOXYCYCLINE	<=0.5	S		
ERYTHROMYCIN (PEDIAMYCIN)	>=8	R	PO	250-500mg Q6h
		R	IV	.5-1g Q6h
GENTAMICIN (GARAMYCIN)	<=0.5	S	IVMDD	Load 2mg/kg; 1.7mg/kg Q8h
		S	IV OD	5.1mg/kg Q24h
LEVOFLOXACIN (LEVAQUIN)	<=0.12	S	PO/IV	250-750mg Q24h
LINEZOLID (ZYVOX)	2	S	PO/IV	600mg Q12h
OXACILLIN (NAFCILLIN)	>=4	R	IV/IM	1-2g Q4h
RIFAMPIN (RIFADIN, RIMACTANE)	<=0.5	S	PO/IV	Should not be used alone
* TIGECYCLINE	<=0.12	S	IV	See Reference Guide
TRIMET/SULFA (SEPTRA, BACTRIM)	<=10	S	PO	160mg/800mg Q12h
		S	IV	8-10mg/kg TMP Daily
VANCOMYCIN (VANCOCIN)	<=0.5	S	IV	15mg/kg Q12h

\*\* CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE \*\*

RUN DATE: 01/29/18  
RUN TIME: 0805

Community Health Center of Branch County  
274 East Chicago Street - Coldwater, MI 49036  
Phone: (517) 279-5476 / Fax: (517) 279-5372

PAGE 2

DOCTOR

SUDHIR, BHAMINI MD (LAKE C CF)

Patient: ODOM STEVEN A	V00002314756	(Continued)
------------------------	--------------	-------------

Specimen: 18:M0000398R	Collected: 01/26/18-1910	Received: 01/26/18-1931	(Continued)
------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------	-------------

Procedure	Result	Site
-----------	--------	------

WOUND CULTURE Preliminary (continued)

\* Denotes antibiotic NOT in the formulary at the Community  
Health Center of Branch County.

\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*

Steven A. Odom, #161794  
Lakeland Correctional Facility  
141 First Street  
Coldwater, Michigan 49036

October 18, 2020

The Honorable Gretchen Whitmer  
Governor  
George W. Romney Bldg.  
111 S. Capitol Ave  
Lansing, Michigan 48909

Re: Health Care

Dear Governor Whitmer:

I am a U.S. Citizen and a native of Ann Arbor, Michigan. I write to your office for help; I believe my health (and possibly my life) is being placed at risk by the Health Care (HC) staff here at the Lakeland Correctional Facility (LCF) in Coldwater, Michigan. Please allow me to explain, seriatim:

First, I contracted the coronavirus (Covid-19) here at LCF in March of 2020, where over 800 prisoners out of 1400 contracted the virus. Twenty-three prisoners died (if not more) from Covid-19 at LCF. Nevertheless, in August I complaint of sever Pleuritic pain to HC. A chest x-ray was ordered by a Dr. Lizabeth Ralles on August 26, 2020. However, despite (a) complaints that my symptoms had worsened; (b) that I have underlying medical conditions: Chronic Obstruction Pulmonary Disease (COPD), Hypertension, and Hyperlipidemia; and (c) Covid-19 being a multi-system disease, an x-ray was not taken of my chest until October 9, 2020. Consequently, on 10/12/2020, I was diagnosed with pneumonia and prescribed Azithromycin by Nurse Practitioner Coe E. Hill. This infection was not detected in any of the labs drawn on me on 10/06/2020.

Second, on October 16, 2020, I wrote HC and advised that the medication wasn't working and that my symptoms were getting worse. Specifically, my breathing was becoming more labored and painful. That next morning I could hardly breath and reported my symptoms to my housing unit officer, C/O Clayman, who said he would call HC. Ten minutes later, I went back to the officer's station and complained that my symptoms were worsening. At this point, I was not provided any medical care.

I then got dressed and attempted to walk to HC. On the way I was stopped by prisoners Jones and White and asked, "are you all right?" I explained that I was dizzy, losing my vision, and having difficulty breathing. They thought I might be diabetic and advised that maybe I needed to get something to eat, so they escorted me to the dinning hall. After I got my meal and began to exit the dinning hall I lost my vision and collapsed. Shortly thereafter I found myself in HC where my vitals were being taken. I was then asked what happened and I explained. The attending nurse then went and spoke with my Medical Provider (MP), NP Hill, who ordered a urin sample. NP Hill did not have my blood sugar levels checked or have me sent to an outside hospital for further diagnoses and treatment. In fact, NP Hill ordered that I return back to my housing unit

despite still having problems breathing and acute pain in my right flank and kidney area.

Third, in addition to contracting Covid-19, I have also contracted due to the overcrowded living conditions here at LCF, numerous Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) infections. MRSA is a serious life threatening medical condition that can cause pneumonia. In fact, I know a number of prisoners who have died from MRSA related pneumonia here at LCF, because HC failed or refused to timely diagnose and treat their breathing complaints.

Governor Whitmer, prisoners have died and will continue to die here at LCF during this pandemic if something isn't done to address (a) the delay and/or denial of prisoner treatment to save cost within the MDOC, and (b) the medical malpractice and incompetence of medical staff within the MDOC. Because God knows I don't want to be the next preventable casualty here at LCF. Therefore, I ask that you intervene in this matter and order, or have the Director of the MDOC order, that I be seen immediately by an outside Doctor to diagnose and treat my post Covid-19 and MRSA related symptoms.

I thank you for your time and prompt attention to this matter. Awaiting your reply, if any, I remain...

Sincerely,



Steven A. Odom

cc: The Honorable Garlin Gilchrist II, Lt. Governor  
The Honorable Jeff Irwin, State Senator, D-Ann Arbor  
The Honorable Rebekah Warren, State Representative, D-Ann Arbor  
Dr. Joneigh Khaldun, Chief Medical Officer, Health & Human Services  
Ms. Heidi Washington, Director, MDOC  
Mr. Bryan Morrison, Warden, LCF  
Health Unit Manager, LCF  
Mr. Doug Tjakes, Humanity for Prisoners  
Ms. Shirley J. Odom, Prisoner's Mother  
Ms. Claudette Ballard, Prisoner's Wife  
Mr. Dan Manville, Clinical Law Professor, MSU Clinical Law Program  
ACLU of Michigan  
Southern Poverty Law Center  
60 Minute News  
CNN News  
Detroit Free Press  
Detroit News

A P P E N D I X "B"

MDOC COVID-19 POSITIVE/NEGATIVE REPORTS

1. MDOC REPORTED COVID-19 RESULTS AS OF AUGUST 5, 2020
2. SEPTEMBER 2020 (LCF) COVID-POSITIVE REPORT
3. OCTOBER 9, 2020 MDOC COVID-POSITIVE REPORT
4. OCTOBER 19, 2020 MDOC COVID-POSITIVE REPORT
5. NOVEMBER 2, 2020 MDOC COVID-POSITIVE REPORT



<b>Total Tested</b>	
37,461	
<b>Total Positive</b>	<b>Total Negative</b>
4,037	33,424

<b>Total Recovered</b>	<b>Total in Step Down</b>	<b>Active Cases</b>	<b>Cases Now Paroled*</b>	<b>Deaths</b>
3,595	15	168	191	68

Location	Prisoners Tested	Prisoners Confirmed	Prisoners Negative	Prisoner Deaths
Alger Correctional Facility	871	0	871	
Baraga Correctional Facility	814	0	814	
Bellamy Creek Correctional Facility	1,730	1	1,729	
Carson City Correctional Facility	2,364	1	2,363	
Central Michigan Correctional Facility	2,410	4	2,406	
Charles E. Egeler Reception and Guidance Center	1900	377	1,523	6
Chippewa Correctional Facility	2,310	0	2,310	
Cooper Street Correctional Facility	1,504	1	1,503	
Detroit Reentry Center	84	24	60	2
Duane Waters Health Center	144	18	126	2
Earnest C. Brooks Correctional Facility	1,176	0	1,176	
G. Robert Cotton Correctional Facility	1,694	772	922	4
Gus Harrison Correctional Facility	1,922	707	1,215	4
Ionia Correctional Facility	644	2	642	
Kinross Correctional Facility	1,518	1	1,517	
Lakeland Correctional Facility	1,443	815	628	23
Macomb Correctional Facility	1,160	241	919	5
Marquette Branch Prison	888	0	888	
Michigan Reformatory	1,196	1	1,195	
Muskegon Correctional Facility	1419	155	1,264	
Newberry Correctional Facility	1,052	1	1,051	
Oaks Correctional Facility	1,031	9	1,022	
Parnall Correctional Facility	1,526	297	1,229	10
Richard A. Handlon Correctional Facility	1,184	0	1,184	
Saginaw Correctional Facility	1,419	4	1,415	
St. Louis Correctional Facility	1,138	0	1,138	
Thumb Correctional Facility	915	303	612	7

Good Afternoon,

Due to a recent COVID positive prisoner case, we are testing all LCF staff and prisoners. • We are testing all staff and prisoners, weekly, for at least 14 days from the last identified COVID positive test. • We will begin week one of testing this week.

Staff testing will begin on Wednesday, September 30, 2020. • Staff testing will again occur on October 2nd and all prisoner testing will take place on Saturday, October 3, 2020. • Limited movement will be allowed during facility testing.

The National Guard will assist with testing. The test will be an anterior nare that is not as invasive as previous tests.

If you have previously tested positive within the last 90 days you will not be tested again this week. ••

Failure to complete the test, will require you to be placed in medical quarantine.

Take care and stay safe,

A/Deputy Warden Chrisman

The Michigan Department of Corrections is committed to providing the prisoner population with up-to-date information as it relates to our efforts in dealing with the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).

#### **Prisoner cases**

On Oct. 9, there were 23 positive cases and 23 negative results to report.

The 20 positive cases included:

Marquette Branch Prison - 20

Lakeland Correctional – 1

Thumb Correctional – 1

Charles Egeler Reception & Guidance – 1

The 23 negative results were from Charles Egeler Reception & Guidance.

#### **Video Visitation update**

The MDOC's Video Visitation Pilot reached an important milestone Friday, October 9, 2020: Online scheduling of video visits at Parnall Correctional Facility (SMT) is open.

For prisoners at SMT, this means that Visitors on your Approved Visitor List will be able to request a video visit.

Here are the basic steps that an Approved Visitor should follow:

Step 1: The Approved Visitor should navigate to the GTL Visitor Web site:

<https://midoc.gtlvisitme.com/app>

Step 2: The Approved Visitor will need to create an account on the GTL Visitor Web Site and request to visit the prisoner at SMT.

Step 3: Once approved on the GTL site, the Approved Visitor will then be able to request a scheduled video visit for prisoners at SMT.

**Length of video visits:** 20 minutes (with 10 minutes between).

**Cost of video visits:** The cost of a scheduled twenty (20) minute video visit is \$3.20. The video visit must be paid for in advance by the person scheduling the visit.

**Scheduling window:** Video Visits may be scheduled no less than 72 hours in advance of the scheduled visit and not after 48 hours.

The Michigan Department of Corrections is committed to providing the prisoner population with up-to-date information as it relates to our efforts in dealing with the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).

**Prisoner cases**

On Oct. 19, there were 201 positive cases and 15 negative results to report.

The 201 positive cases included:

Marquette Branch Prison - 98

Newberry Correctional - 49

Richard A. Handlon Correctional - 47

Cooper Street Correctional - 4

Saginaw Correctional - 2

Lakeland Correctional - 1

The 15 negative results included:

Women's Huron Valley Correctional - 9

Thumb Correctional - 5

Carson City Correctional - 1

**Video Visitation update**

Today, video visitation launched at Duane Waters Health Center/RGC's C-Unit as part of the MDOC's video visitation pilot.

Video visiting opened at Parnall Correctional Facility on Oct. 12, and at G. Robert Cotton Correctional Facility on Oct. 16.

As a reminder, here are the basic steps that an approved visitor should follow:

**Step 1:** The Approved Visitor should navigate to the GTL Visitor Web site: <https://midoc.gtlvisitme.com/app>

84

~~XXXXXX~~

The Michigan Department of Corrections is committed to providing the prisoner population with up-to-date information as it relates to our efforts in dealing with the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).

**Prisoner cases**

On Nov. 2, there were 27 positive cases and 54 negative results to report.

The 27 positive cases included:

Chippewa Correctional – 13

Alger Correctional – 7

Muskegon Correctional – 3

Marquette Branch Prison – 2

Newberry Correctional – 2

The 54 negative results included:

Charles Egeler Reception & Guidance – 51

Women's Huron Valley Correctional – 2

Cooper Street Correctional – 1

**MDOC Partnership with Department of State to Help Provide Thousands of Returning Citizens With State ID**

In June, the Michigan Department of State and the MDOC announced a joint initiative to help returning citizens reintegrate into their communities by providing them with a driver's license or state ID upon their parole.

The department had made vital documents a priority under Director Washington, and in 2019 when Secretary of State Jocelyn Benson took office, she made it a priority for her department as well. Through the initiative, the MDOC obtains required documents, paperwork and photos for individuals designated for parole and then sends that info directly to MDOS, where either a driver's license or state ID will be processed. The MDOC partially funds a position at MDOS who is dedicated to processing the paperwork sent by the MDOC.

A P P E N D I X "C"

PETITIONER'S MDOC WORK REPORTS

1. PRISONER PROGRAM AND WORK ASSIGNMENT EVALUATION DATED: 07/06/2020
2. MDOC (LCF) PRISONER WORKER SAFETY TRAINING RECORD DATED: 10/02/2017
3. PRISONER PROGRAM AND WORK ASSIGNMENT EVALUATION DATED: 08/18/2017
4. PRISONER PROGRAM AND WORK ASSIGNMENT EVALUATION DATED: 12/28/2016
5. PRISONER PROGRAM AND WORK ASSIGNMENT EVALUATION DATED: 06/19/2016
6. PRISONER PROGRAM AND WORK ASSIGNMENT EVALUATION DATED: 11/07/2012

PETITIONER'S SELF-HELP PROGRAMING

7. 2016 MCF 12-WEEK ETHICS AND STEWARDSHIP PROJECT LETTER OF RECOGNITION (CERTIFICATE);
8. AUGUST 11-12 2016 "THE GLOBAL LEADERSHIP SUMMIT" PARTICIPATION (CERTIFICATE) (VISIT [WILLOWCREEK.COM/SUMMIT](http://WILLOWCREEK.COM/SUMMIT) FOR MORE INFORMATION);
9. 2014 4-WEEK PRISONER "HOUSE OF HEALING" ENLIGHTENMENT PROGRAM (CERTIFICATE);
10. JUNE 2013 "I AM THE POWER OF PEACE" VIOLENCE PREVENTION FACILITATOR (CERTIFICATE), and
11. 2011 ANGER MANAGEMENT/CAGE YOUR RAGE PROGRAM (363 COMPLETION REPORT).

B4

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
**PRISONER PROGRAM AND WORK ASSIGNMENT EVALUATION**

CSJ-363  
 REV. 09/06  
 4835-3363

Prisoner Name (last) (first) (middle initial) ODOM, STEVEN		Prisoner No. 161794	Ink No. B4 032B	Institution Code LCF
Assignment Name BLOCK HELP		Assignment No. 654A	Date Assigned 2/15/2018	Date Evaluated 7/6/2020
Assignment Classification: <input type="checkbox"/> Student <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unskilled <input type="checkbox"/> Semi-Skilled <input type="checkbox"/> Skilled <input type="checkbox"/> Other		Race NW	Date Terminated	Will Take Back <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Circle the number beside each statement which describes the prisoner's work/school assignment performance:			3 or more exceptions	1-2 exceptions
1. The prisoner was on time.			0	2
2. The prisoner came on the correct days.			0	2
3. The prisoner followed all safety rules.			0	2
4. The prisoner followed all other rules.			0	2
5. The prisoner followed the assignment authority's instructions.			0	2
6. The prisoner cooperated with the assignment authority, followed the working chain of command and refrained from arguing about assignments. (Working relationship with Authority)			0	2
7. The prisoner discussed work/education related problems with peers/tutor, listened to peer's/tutor's point of view, encouraged discussion without argument and limited disruptive vocalizations. (Communication with Peers)			0	2
8. The prisoner did the assignment share of the work/education assignment, remained in the assigned area until the end of the shift and engaged in no horseplay. (Teamwork with Peers)			0	2
9. The prisoner kept a neat, clean and well groomed personal appearance, suitable for the assignment.			0	2
10. The prisoner did job/education tasks according to the job/education description.			0	2
11. The prisoner kept the work area neat and clean.			0	2
12. The prisoner worked without constant supervision or direction when appropriate.			0	2
13. The prisoner was willing to perform additional duties or stay beyond scheduled time. When asked, the prisoner did not argue or complain and performed additional assignments in a satisfactory manner.			0	2
REVIEWED: Prisoner's Signature: <i>Steven Odom</i>			Date: 7/8/20	COLUMN TOTAL: 39
I RECOMMEND:			TOTAL SCORE:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Entry Pay with 30 Days Conditional - Below Average Score 0-27 <input type="checkbox"/> Status Pay Satisfactory - Average Score 28-34 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> *Above Average Score 35-39 <input type="checkbox"/> Bonus Pay for Food Service Workers <input type="checkbox"/> Termination <input type="checkbox"/> Close Supervision Fill in the appropriate information for school programming * No notations in the 3 or more exceptions column.				
14. Academic CBI Modules in Progress	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	Subject	Letter/Number	Avg. Standard Score
15. GED Test Version				
16. Voc Ed Program in Progress	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	Duties (capital letter) Completed. If duty not complete, print duty letter & task (number) completed.		
17. Pre-Release/Job Seeking Skills Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	Date Completed:		
18. Completed training to operate the following machinery or equipment:	Date Completed:			
19. Attendance	Hours Attended	Hours Missed		
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:				
Payrate: 1.77				
Evaluator's Signature		Supervisor's Signature		
Evaluator's Printed Name and Title		Supervisor's Printed Name and Title		

DISTRIBUTION: White - Record Office; Green - Assignment Supervisor; Canary - School Principal/Classification Director; Pink - RUM; Goldenrod - Prisoner

## PRISONER WORKER SAFETY TRAINING RECORD

4835-0900

Facility: LCF

Upon completion of any safety and health training, the supervisor will record the training information listed below. The supervisor will maintain and update this training record for each prisoner worker. Copy this form as needed.

(Please Print)

LOCK: 34-53

PRISONER NAME: <u>DDOM</u>	PRISONER#: <u>161794</u>
JOB TITLE: <u>Lead Porter</u>	DATE OF HIRE: <u>8/4/17</u>
OFFICE/DIVISION: <u>B-4</u>	SUPERVISOR: <u>ALZ</u>

## TRAINING REQUIRED FOR ALL PRISONER WORKERS

TRAINING	SUPERVISOR	WORKER INITIALS	DATE
General Safety Orientation			10/2/17
**Hazard Communication – Right To Know *OP-LCF-04.03.101-D is in Law Library *Requirements of the MIOSHA Hazard Communication Standard		<i>SE</i>	10/2/17
Personal Protective Equipment			10/2/17

OTHER JOB SPECIFIC SAFETY TRAINING: (Safety training matrices in Section 4 of the MDOC Occupational Safety and Health Policy and Procedures Manual provide a list of required safety and health training by type of work.)

TRAINING	SUPERVISOR	WORKER INITIALS	DATE
*Use of the Labeling System for Caustics Located in OP-LCF-04.03.101-D			10/2/17
*Location of Eye-Wash Station(s)			
*Exposure Control Plan for BBP's Updated 07/29/09			
*Job Specifications per page(s) of the MDOC Institutional Job Manual			
*Procedures-Prisoner Use of Tools and Equipment			
*PD 03.04.110 "Control of Communicable Diseases			
*PD 03.04.120 "Control of Communicable Bloodborne Diseases"			
*PD 04.03.101 "Occupational Safety"			
*PD 04.03.102 "Sanitation and Housekeeping Standards"			
*OP-LCF-04.03.101-C, "Occupational Safety"			
*OP-LCF-04.03.101-D, "Hazard Communication-Right to Know"			
*OP-LCF-04.03.101-D, "Personal Protection Equipment"			
*Location Caustic Storage Area			
*Location and Use of MSDS Sheets			
Polishers (Buffers)			
Vacuums			
Burnishers			
Laundry			10/2/17

Original: Assignment Supervisor (no copies)



## MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

## PRISONER PROGRAM AND WORK ASSIGNMENT EVALUATION




CSJ-363  
REV. 09/06  
4835-3363

Prisoner Name (last) <b>ODOM</b>	(first) <b>STEVEN</b>	(middle initial) <b>A</b>	Prisoner No. <b>161794</b>	Lock No. <b>B-4-53</b>	Institution Code <b>LCF</b>
Assignment Name <b>Custodial Maintenance Review</b>			Assignment No. <b>654 A</b>	Date Assigned <b>9/20/016</b>	Date Evaluated <b>8/18/017</b>
Assignment Classification: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Student <input type="checkbox"/> Unskilled <input type="checkbox"/> Semi-Skilled <input type="checkbox"/> Skilled <input type="checkbox"/> Other			Race <b>NW</b>	Date Terminated	Will Take Back <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Circle the number beside each statement which describes the prisoner's work/school assignment performance:				3 or more exceptions	1-2 exceptions
1. The prisoner was on time.				0	2
2. The prisoner came on the correct days.				0	2
3. The prisoner followed all safety rules.				0	2
4. The prisoner followed all other rules.				0	2
5. The prisoner followed the assignment authority's instructions.				0	2
6. The prisoner cooperated with the assignment authority, followed the working chain of command and refrained from arguing about assignments. (Working relationship with Authority)				0	2
7. The prisoner discussed work/education related problems with peers/tutor, listened to peer's/tutor's point of view, encouraged discussion without argument and limited disruptive vocalizations. (Communication with Peers)				0	2
8. The prisoner did the assignment share of the work/education assignment, remained in the assigned area until the end of the shift and engaged in no horseplay. (Teamwork with Peers)				0	2
9. The prisoner kept a neat, clean and well groomed personal appearance, suitable for the assignment.				0	2
10. The prisoner did job/education tasks according to the job/education description.				0	2
11. The prisoner kept the work area neat and clean.				0	2
12. The prisoner worked without constant supervision or direction when appropriate.				0	2
13. The prisoner was willing to perform additional duties or stay beyond scheduled time. When asked, the prisoner did not argue or complain and performed additional assignments in a satisfactory manner.				0	2
REVIEWED: Prisoner's Signature: <i>Steve Odom</i>			Date: <b>8/18/17</b>	COLUMN TOTAL:	
I RECOMMEND:			TOTAL SCORE: <b>39</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Entry Pay with 30 Days Conditional · Below Average Score 0-27 <input type="checkbox"/> Status Pay Satisfactory · Average Score 28-34 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> * Above Average Score 35-39 <input type="checkbox"/> Bonus Pay for Food Service Workers <input type="checkbox"/> Termination <input type="checkbox"/> Close Supervision					
Fill in the appropriate information for school programming * No notations in the 3 or more exceptions column.					
14. Academic CBI Modules in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> N/A		Subject			
		Letter/Number	/	/	/
15. GED Test Version		I	II	III	IV
		V			
16. Voc Ed Program in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> N/A		Duties (capital letter) Completed. If duty not complete, print duty letter & task (number) completed.			
17. Pre-Release/Job Seeking Skills Completed		<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		Date Completed:	
18. Completed training to operate the following machinery or equipment:		Date Completed:			
19. Attendance		Hours Attended	0	1	5
		Hours Missed	0	0	0
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:					
Prisoner was informed of Building Porter Rules, Porter Responsibilities, Job Descriptions and proper methods, Proper Care of Cleaning Equipment and Safety Issues, Proper use of Cleaning Solutions, Floor Maintenance Programs, Hazard Communications, Personal Protective Equipment, Requirements of MIOSHA Hazard, Occupational Safety and Health, Labeling Systems for Caustics, Exposure Control Plans for Blood Borne Pathogens, Control of Communicable Diseases, MRSA Prevention and Infection Control In the Housing Units. Prisoner also received Custodial Maintenance Tools and Equipment Training and On the Job Training for Unit and Building Porters.					
Evaluator's Signature			Supervisor's Signature		
Evaluator's Printed Name and Title			Supervisor's Printed Name and Title		

## MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

## PRISONER PROGRAM AND WORK ASSIGNMENT EVALUATION

CSJ-363  
REV. 09/06  
4835-3363

Prisoner Name (last)	(first)	(middle initial)	Prisoner No.	Lock No.	Institution Code
Odom	Steven		161794	B-4-53	LCF
Assignment Name			Assignment No.	Date Assigned	Date Evaluated
Blood Borne Pathogen (Update Training)				12/28/16	12/28/16
Assignment Classification: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Student <input type="checkbox"/> Unskilled <input type="checkbox"/> Semi-Skilled <input type="checkbox"/> Skilled <input type="checkbox"/> Other			Race	Date Terminated	Will Take Back <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Circle the number beside each statement which describes the prisoner's work/school assignment performance:				3 or more exceptions	1-2 exceptions
				No exceptions	
1. The prisoner was on time.				0	2
2. The prisoner came on the correct days.				0	2
3. The prisoner followed all safety rules.				0	2
4. The prisoner followed all other rules.				0	2
5. The prisoner followed the assignment authority's instructions.				0	2
6. The prisoner cooperated with the assignment authority, followed the working chain of command and refrained from arguing about assignments. (Working relationship with Authority)				0	2
7. The prisoner discussed work/education related problems with peers/tutor, listened to peer's/tutor's point of view, encouraged discussion without argument and limited disruptive vocalizations. (Communication with Peers)				0	2
8. The prisoner did the assignment share of the work/education assignment, remained in the assigned area until the end of the shift and engaged in no horseplay. (Teamwork with Peers)				0	2
9. The prisoner kept a neat, clean and well groomed personal appearance, suitable for the assignment.				0	2
10. The prisoner did job/education tasks according to the job/education description.				0	2
11. The prisoner kept the work area neat and clean.				0	2
12. The prisoner worked without constant supervision or direction when appropriate.				0	2
13. The prisoner was willing to perform additional duties or stay beyond scheduled time. When asked, the prisoner did not argue or complain and performed additional assignments in a satisfactory manner.				0	2
REVIEWED: Prisoner's Signature:			Date:	COLUMN TOTAL:	
I RECOMMEND:			TOTAL SCORE:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Entry Pay with 30 Days Conditional • Below Average Score 0-27 <input type="checkbox"/> Status Pay Satisfactory • Average Score 28-34 <input type="checkbox"/> * Above Average Score 35-39 <input type="checkbox"/> Bonus Pay for Food Service Workers <input type="checkbox"/> Termination <input type="checkbox"/> Close Supervision					
Fill in the appropriate information for school programming * No notations in the 3 or more exceptions column.					
14. Academic CBI Modules in Progress	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	Subject			
		Letter/Number	/	/	/
				Avg. Standard Score	Date Tested
15. GED Test Version					
16. Voc Ed Program in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> N/A Duties (capital letter) Completed. If duty not complete, print duty letter & task (number) completed.					
17. Pre-Release/Job Seeking Skills Completed <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO					Date Completed:
18. Completed training to operate the following machinery or equipment:					Date Completed:
19. Attendance	Hours Attended		Hours Missed		
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:					
This evaluation certifies that the prisoner named above has completed Lakeland Correctional Facilities Bloodborne Pathogens Training Program and is qualified for the Blood Spill Cleanup Crew. This training meets the latest MIOSHA and ACA Standards. This training is valid for ONE YEAR ONLY.					
Received initial HBV Vaccine inoculation: Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>					
Verified by Health Services: 					
Evaluator's Signature			Supervisor's Signature		
					
Evaluator's Printed Name and Title			Supervisor's Printed Name and Title		
B. Tillitson, E-9			S. MITTELSTADT, CLASSIFICATION		




## MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

## PRISONER PROGRAM AND WORK ASSIGNMENT EVALUATION

CSJ-363

REV. 09/06

4835-3363

Prisoner Name (last) Odom	(first) Steve	(middle initial) A	Prisoner No. 161794	Lock No. 2-257-B	Institution Code MCF
Assignment Name Midnight Porter			Assignment No.	Date Assigned 4/1/16	Date Evaluated 6/19/16
Assignment Classification: <input type="checkbox"/> Student <input type="checkbox"/> Unskilled <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Semi-Skilled <input type="checkbox"/> Skilled <input type="checkbox"/> Other			Race NW	Date Terminated 6/20/16	Will Take Back <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Circle the number beside each statement which describes the prisoner's work/school assignment performance:				3 or more exceptions	1-2 exceptions
1. The prisoner was on time.				0	2
2. The prisoner came on the correct days.				0	2
3. The prisoner followed all safety rules.				0	2
4. The prisoner followed all other rules.				0	2
5. The prisoner followed the assignment authority's instructions.				0	2
6. The prisoner cooperated with the assignment authority, followed the working chain of command and refrained from arguing about assignments. (Working relationship with Authority)				0	2
7. The prisoner discussed work/education related problems with peers/tutor, listened to peer's/tutor's point of view, encouraged discussion without argument and limited disruptive vocalizations. (Communication with Peers)				0	2
8. The prisoner did the assignment share of the work/education assignment, remained in the assigned area until the end of the shift and engaged in no horseplay. (Teamwork with Peers)				0	2
9. The prisoner kept a neat, clean and well groomed personal appearance, suitable for the assignment.				0	2
10. The prisoner did job/education tasks according to the job/education description.				0	2
11. The prisoner kept the work area neat and clean.				0	2
12. The prisoner worked without constant supervision or direction when appropriate.				0	2
13. The prisoner was willing to perform additional duties or stay beyond scheduled time. When asked, the prisoner did not argue or complain and performed additional assignments in a satisfactory manner.				0	2
REVIEWED: Prisoner's Signature: 			Date: 6/20/16	COLUMN TOTAL:	39
I RECOMMEND:			TOTAL SCORE: 39		
<input type="checkbox"/> Entry Pay with 30 Days Conditional · Below Average Score 0-27 <input type="checkbox"/> Status Pay Satisfactory · Average Score 28-34 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> * Above Average Score 35-39 <input type="checkbox"/> Bonus Pay for Food Service Workers <input type="checkbox"/> Termination <input type="checkbox"/> Close Supervision					
Fill in the appropriate information for school programming <span style="float: right;">* No notations in the 3 or more exceptions column.</span>					
14. Academic CBI Modules in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> N/A		Subject			
		Letter/Number	/	/	/
15. GED Test Version		I	II	III	IV
		V			
16. Voc Ed Program in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> N/A		Duties (capital letter) Completed. If duty not complete, print duty letter & task (number) completed.			
17. Pre-Release/Job Seeking Skills Completed		<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		Date Completed:	
18. Completed training to operate the following machinery or equipment:		Date Completed:			
19. Attendance		Hours Attended		Hours Missed	
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:					
Odom was assigned as Midnight porter. He was a good and reliable worker, required limited supervision.					
Evaluator's Signature 			Supervisor's Signature 		
Evaluator's Printed Name and Title C/O Goodnio			Supervisor's Printed Name and Title PC Howard		




## MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

## PRISONER PROGRAM AND WORK ASSIGNMENT EVALUATION

CSJ-363

REV. 09/06

4835-3363

Prisoner Name (last) Odom	(first) Steve	(middle initial) A	Prisoner No. 161794	Lock No. B5B	Institution Code MTU
Assignment Name Unit Laundry			Assignment No.	Date Assigned 11/7/12	Date Evaluated 11/7/12
Assignment Classification: <input type="checkbox"/> Student <input type="checkbox"/> Unskilled <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Semi-Skilled <input type="checkbox"/> Skilled <input type="checkbox"/> Other			Race NW	Date Terminated 11/7/12	Will Take Back <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Circle the number beside each statement which describes the prisoner's work/school assignment performance:				3 or more exceptions	1-2 exceptions
1. The prisoner was on time.				0	2
2. The prisoner came on the correct days.				0	2
3. The prisoner followed all safety rules.				0	2
4. The prisoner followed all other rules.				0	2
5. The prisoner followed the assignment authority's instructions.				0	2
6. The prisoner cooperated with the assignment authority, followed the working chain of command and refrained from arguing about assignments. (Working relationship with Authority)				0	2
7. The prisoner discussed work/education related problems with peers/tutor, listened to peer's/tutor's point of view, encouraged discussion without argument and limited disruptive vocalizations. (Communication with Peers)				0	2
8. The prisoner did the assignment share of the work/education assignment, remained in the assigned area until the end of the shift and engaged in no horseplay. (Teamwork with Peers)				0	2
9. The prisoner kept a neat, clean and well groomed personal appearance, suitable for the assignment.				0	2
10. The prisoner did job/education tasks according to the job/education description.				0	2
11. The prisoner kept the work area neat and clean.				0	2
12. The prisoner worked without constant supervision or direction when appropriate.				0	2
13. The prisoner was willing to perform additional duties or stay beyond scheduled time. When asked, the prisoner did not argue or complain and performed additional assignments in a satisfactory manner.				0	2
REVIEWED: Prisoner's Signature: 			Date: 11/7/12	COLUMN TOTAL:	39
I RECOMMEND:				TOTAL SCORE:	39
<input type="checkbox"/> Entry Pay with 30 Days Conditional · Below Average Score 0-27 <input type="checkbox"/> Status Pay Satisfactory · Average Score 28-34 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> * Above Average Score 35-39 <input type="checkbox"/> Bonus Pay for Food Service Workers <input type="checkbox"/> Termination <input type="checkbox"/> Close Supervision					
Fill in the appropriate information for school programming <span style="float: right;">* No notations in the 3 or more exceptions column.</span>					
14. Academic CBI Modules in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> N/A		Subject			
		Letter/Number	/	/	/
15. GED Test Version		I	II	III	IV
		V			
		Avg. Standard Score			
		Date Tested			
16. Voc Ed Program in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> N/A		Duties (capital letter) Completed. If duty not complete, print duty letter & task (number) completed.			
17. Pre-Release/Job Seeking Skills Completed		<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		Date Completed:	
18. Completed training to operate the following machinery or equipment:				Date Completed:	
19. Attendance	Hours Attended		Hours Missed		
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:					
Mr. Odom completed tasks and was on time for his assignment.					
Evaluator's Signature 			Supervisor's Signature 		
Evaluator's Printed Name and Title M. Clay RUO			Supervisor's Printed Name and Title R. Hummel		



# CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION

Steven A Odom

HAS SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED THE  
TWELVE WEEK INTRODUCTION TO WHOLENESS ETHICS  
OF THE

THE MCF ETHICS AND STEWARDSHIP PROJECT

  
PROGRAM FACILITATOR

  
PROGRAM FACILITATOR

  
PRISON COUNSELOR

# MCF Ethics and Stewardship Project

## Letter of Recognition

On this 13th day of August, 2016 the MCF Ethics and Stewardship Project would like to recognize the active participation of Steven Odom #161794 in the 12 week introductory level wholeness ethics class.

He has demonstrated a commitment to the class by engaging sincerely in the classroom discussion and completing all assignments. Some of the ideas discussed were being a Beneficial Presence and being in right relationship by practicing reverence, goodwill, and justice in all areas of our lives. Also discussed were concepts such as duty, citizenship, self-governance, nonviolence, stewardship, honor, responsibility, and respect.


We wish to commend Mr. Odom for his part in fulfilling our vision of "Prisoners and people everywhere adopting the principles of right relationship in our lives, then becoming stewards of these principles in our communities and to future generations." Further, we encourage him to continue his progress and commitment to right relationship beyond participation in this class by continuing to integrate what he has learned into his life through every relationship.

Congratulations, Steve and continue doing "only what increases wholeness in yourself and in the world."



MCF Staff Coordinators

P.C. Howard



Ethics Project Chairman

T. Chapman

  
Co-Chairman

B.Miles

Name: Odom Prisoner Number: 161794 Lock: 2-257


Global Leadership Summit

Date: 08/22/2016

Hello,

You were signed up for the Global Leadership Summit. If you could please fill out this brief survey and turn it back in, it would be appreciated.

Thank you.



Corrections Program Coordinator

**Please Return Survey by Friday, August 26<sup>th</sup>.**

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

**Certificate of Excellence**


*is hereby granted to:*

**Steve Odom**

*for outstanding performance and lasting contribution on*

***The Global Leadership Summit***

***Granted: August 12, 2016***



*[Signature]*  
MCF Corrections Program Coordinator  
willowcreek.com/Summit



# The House of Healing Certificate of Completion


is to acknowledge that:

**STEVE ODOM**

completed our 4-week enlightenment program from

January 2014 through February 2014

*Congratulations*

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "LRF-P. Watson", written over a horizontal line.

LRF-P. Watson, APC, Program Coordinator

# The Power of Peace Organization

awards this certificate in acknowledgment to:

**Steve Odom**

for participation as a Program Facilitator on this date of:

**June 8, 2013**



*Mary K. Berghuis*

Warden Mary K. Berghuis  
[www.thepowerofpeace.org](http://www.thepowerofpeace.org)

# FLORENCE CRANE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY ANGER MANAGEMENT/CAGE YOUR RAGE PROGRAM

## ANGER MANAGEMENT/CAGE YOUR RAGE STUDENT PROGRESS PLOTTER

Odom

161794 C-1-77

			The Angry Volcano
<del>Anger Post and Pre-Test Video</del>	2/17/2011		Thoughts, Feelings & Actions
Pre-Test			Anger Buttons
The Angry Volcano			Anger: The Tip of the Iceberg
Thoughts, Feelings & Actions			How Would You Feel
Anger Buttons			Physical Cues to Anger
Anger: The Tip of the Iceberg			Time-Out Plan: The 5W's and H
How Would You Feel			"I" Letter
			Self Talk
<del>Anger &amp; Aggression Video</del>	2/24/2011		The Conflict Cycle
Physical Cues to Anger			Choices
Time-Out Plan: The 5W's and H			Story Board
			Do's & Don't's Conflict
<del>What Causes Anger Video</del>	3/3/2011		Word Search: De-Escalation
"I" Letter			
Self Talk			
The Conflict Cycle			
Choices			
Story Board			
Do's & Don't's Conflict			
Word Search: De-Escalation			
<del>How to Manage Anger Video</del>	3/17/2011		
Post-Test			

Facility Cmis Code: LCF

ENTRY DATE

4/6/2011

EXIT DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

COMPLETED HOURS: \_\_\_\_\_

4

☐ DROPPED☐ TRANSFERRED☐ COMPL yesINSTRUCTOR'S NAME (Please Print): I.T. HuffmanTITLE: RUMINSTRUCTOR'S SIGNATURE: T. Huffman, RUM

Date 4-6-11

## MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

4835-3363

## PRISONER PROGRAM AND WORK ASSIGNMENT EVALUATION

CSJ-363 3/94

Prisoner Name (last) Odom	(first)	(middle initial)	Prisoner No. 161794	Lock No. C-1-77	Institution Code LCF
Assignment Name <b>Cage Your Rage</b>			Assignment No. N/A	Date Assigned 2/17/2011	Date Evaluated 4/6/2011
Assignment Classification: <input type="checkbox"/> Student <input type="checkbox"/> Unskilled <input type="checkbox"/> Semi-Skilled <input type="checkbox"/> Skilled <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other			Race	Date Terminated 3/17/2011	Will Take Back <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Circle the number beside each statement which describes the prisoner's work/school assignment performance:			3 or more exceptions	1-2 exceptions	No exceptions
1. The prisoner was on time.			0	2	3
2. The prisoner came on the correct days.			0	2	3
3. The prisoner followed all safety rules.			0	2	3
4. The prisoner followed all other rules.			0	2	3
5. The prisoner followed the assignment authority's instructions.			0	2	3
6. The prisoner cooperated with the assignment authority, followed the working chain of command, and refrained from arguing about assignments. (Working relationship with Authority)			0	2	3
7. The prisoner discussed work/education related problems with peers/tutor, listened to peer's/tutor's point of view, encouraged discussion without argument, and limited disruptive vocalizations (Communication with Peers)			0	2	3
8. The prisoner did the assigned share of the work/education assignment, remained in the assigned area until the end of the shift, and engaged in no horseplay. (Teamwork with Peers)			0	2	3
9. The prisoner kept a neat, clean, and well groomed personal appearance, suitable for the assignment.			0	2	3
10. The prisoner did job/education tasks according to the job/education description.			0	2	3
11. The prisoner kept the work area neat and clean.			0	2	3
12. The prisoner worked without constant supervision or direction when appropriate.			0	2	3
13. The prisoner was willing to perform additional duties or stay beyond scheduled time. When asked, the prisoner did not argue or complain and performed additional assignments in a satisfactory manner.			0	2	3
I RECOMMEND: <input type="checkbox"/> Entry Pay with 30 Days <input type="checkbox"/> Conditional <input type="checkbox"/> Below Average Score 0-27		<input type="checkbox"/> Status Pay <input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory Average Score 28-34	<input type="checkbox"/> * Above Average Score 35-39 <input type="checkbox"/> Bonus Pay for Food <input type="checkbox"/> Service Workers	<input type="checkbox"/> Termination <input type="checkbox"/> Close Supervision	TOTAL SCORE <input type="checkbox"/>
Fill in the appropriate information for school programming <span style="float: right;">* No notations in the 3 or more exceptions column.</span>					
14. Academic CBI Modules in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> N/A		Subject	Letter	Number	
15. GED Test Version <input type="checkbox"/>		I <input type="checkbox"/>	II <input type="checkbox"/>	III <input type="checkbox"/>	IV <input type="checkbox"/> V <input type="checkbox"/>
		Avg. Standard Score <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>			
16. Voc Ed Program in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> N/A		Duties (capital letter) Completed. If duty not complete, print duty letter & task (number) completed.			
17. Pre-Release/Job Seeking Skills Completed <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		Date Completed			
18. Attendance		Hours Attended <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		Hours Missed <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:					
The above prisoner has been involved in the "Cage Your Rage" program at LCF since 2-17-11. This role is taken on by prisoners who want experience a positive change in their behavior/thinking patterns. This work report marks the completion of an 4 week cycle for this program. Although this Program does not meet any particular RGC Recommendations, it should be recognized as a proactive effort for the prisoner to achieve positive results.					
Evaluator's Signature			Supervisor's Signature		
Evaluator's Printed Name and Title Terri A. Huffman, RUM			Supervisor's Printed Name and Title Dep. Hoffman		

**A P P E N D I X "D"**

**DECLARATION OF SYLVIA CARROLL  
(HOME PLACEMENT)**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

STEVEN A. ODOM, #161794,

Petitioner,

Case No. 2:20-cv-12180

-vs-

Judge Arthur J. Tarnow

BRYAN MORRISON, WARDEN

Magistrate Judge Anthony P. Patti

Respondent.

DECLARATION OF SYLVIA CARROLL

I, Sylvia Carroll, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, declare under penalty of perjury that:

1. I am Steven A. Odom's ex sister-in law.
2. I live in a two-bedroom home at 1515 Ridge Road, Lot #220, Ypsilanti, Michigan 48198.
3. I have lived and worked in Washtenaw County most of my adult life, and I currently work as a private home-care provider.
4. I am very familiar with Steve's criminal history. I am also very familiar with the circumstances which led him to be returned to prison and then charged and convicted of armed robbery and bank robbery. Nonetheless, if Steve were to be released from his confinement on bond, he would be more than welcome to live in my home while he fights his case.
5. Steve would have his own bedroom and would be able to quarantine for 14-days upon his release. He would also be able to isolate and practice social distancing during the current coronavirus pandemic.
6. I and Steve's family would ensure that he is clothed, fed, sheltered, and medically taken care of if released on bond.
7. If called as a witness in a court of law, I can and will testify truthfully to the averments set fourth in this declaration. FURTHER, I say not.

/s/ Sylvia Carroll  
Sylvia Carroll, Declarant

Dated: October 27, 2020

Steve Odom #161794

Sakeland Correctional Facility

141 First Street

Caldwate, Michigan 49036



RECEIVED  
NOV 19 2020  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

11/06/20

Clerk of the Court  
United States District Court  
US Federal Bldg. - Courthouse  
231 W. Lafayette Blvd.  
Detroit, Michigan 48226